

# THE MULTIPLICATIVE WEIGHT UPDATES METHOD

URI ZWICK AND HAIM KAPLAN

## 1. THE BASIC BINARY SETTING

On each of  $T$  days,  $n$  experts predict “up” or “down”, and we have to make a decision according to their predictions.

**1.1. The Weighted Majority Algorithm.** We choose a parameter  $0 \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and assign each expert  $i$  an initial weight of  $w_i^t = 1$ . At the end of the day we decide “up” if and only if  $\sum_i$  predicted up  $w_i^t \geq \sum_i$  predicted down  $w_i^t$ . Then we update the weights:

$$w_i^{t+1} = \begin{cases} w_i^t & \text{i was correct on day t} \\ (1 - \eta)w_i^t & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

We also define the cost of each expert at day  $T$  to be the number of mistakes he did until day  $T$ . The cost of the algorithm is defined similarly.

**Theorem 1.** *For every expert it holds that:*

$$\text{cost}^T(WM_\eta) \leq 2(1 + \eta)\text{cost}^t(\text{exp}) + \frac{2 \ln(n)}{\eta}$$

*Proof.* Let  $W^t = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^t$ . If  $WM_\eta$  makes a mistake in day  $t$ , then the weighted majority of the experts predicted wrong. Therefore,

$$W^{t+1} \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \eta) \right) W^t = \left( 1 - \frac{\eta}{2} \right) W^t$$

□

Since  $W^1 = n$  we have (by induction):

$$W^{t+1} \leq n \left( 1 - \frac{\eta}{2} \right)^{\text{cost}^t(WM_\eta)}$$

The same analysis yields that:  $W^{T+1} \geq w_{\text{exp}}^{T+1} = (1 - \eta)^{\text{cost}^T(\text{exp})}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \eta)^{\text{cost}^T(\text{exp})} &\leq W^{T+1} \leq \left( 1 - \frac{\eta}{2} \right)^{\text{cost}^T(WM_\eta)} \\ \text{cost}^T(\text{exp}) \ln(1 - \eta) &\leq \text{cost}^T(WM_\eta) \ln \left( 1 - \frac{\eta}{2} \right) + \ln(n) \\ \text{cost}^T(WM_\eta) &\leq \frac{\ln(1 - \eta)}{\ln \left( 1 - \frac{\eta}{2} \right)} \text{cost}^T(\text{exp}) + \frac{\ln(n)}{-\ln \left( 1 - \frac{\eta}{2} \right)} \\ \text{cost}^T(WM_\eta) &\leq \frac{\eta + \eta^2}{\frac{\eta}{2}} + \frac{\ln(n)}{\frac{\eta}{2}} = 2(1 + \eta)\text{cost}^T(\text{exp}) + \frac{2 \ln(n)}{\eta} \end{aligned}$$

---

Notes written by Bar Aschner, all rights reserved for Arazim ©, except for the right to remain silent, that is saved for Marco.

On the last inequality we used the fact that  $x \leq -\ln(1-x) \leq x+x^2$  for  $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

## 2. THE GENERAL SETTING

On each day we choose a distribution over the experts. Then the cost of each expert (which is a real number in  $[-1, 1]$ ) is revealed and we pay the expected cost according to the distribution chosen.

We start by picking a parameter  $\eta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . The weight of each expert  $i$  at day  $t$  is  $w_i^t$ . We also get the distribution over the experts:

$$p^t = \frac{(w_1^t \dots w_n^t)}{W^t}$$

Where  $W^t = \sum_i w_i^t$ .  $m_i^t$  is the cost of expert  $i$  at day  $t$ . At the end of the day we update the costs by getting  $w_i^{t+1} = w_i^t(1 - \eta m_i^t)$ . The cost for the algorithm at day  $t$  is  $p^t \cdot m^t$ . We define the cost of each expert and the cost of the algorithm to be the sum of the costs up to day  $t$ . That is,  $cost^t(MW_\eta) = \sum_{t=1}^T p^t \cdot m^t$  and  $cost(exp) = \sum_{t=1}^T m_{exp}^t$ .

**Theorem 2.** *For every expert it holds that:*

$$cost^t(MW_\eta) \leq cost^t(exp) + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T (m_i^t)^2 + \frac{\ln(n)}{\eta}$$

*Proof.*

$$\ln \frac{W^{t+1}}{W^1} = \sum_{t=1}^T \ln \frac{W^{t+1}}{W^t} = \sum_{t=1}^T \ln \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^t (1 - \eta m_i^t) \right) = \sum_{t=1}^T \ln(1 - \eta p^t \cdot m^t) \leq -\eta \sum_{t=1}^T p^t \cdot m^t$$

□

On the last inequality we used the fact that  $\ln(1-x) \leq -x$  for  $x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .  
On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \frac{W^{t+1}}{W^1} &\geq \frac{w_{exp}^{t+1}}{n} = \sum_{t=1}^T \ln(1 - \eta m_i^t) - \ln(n) \\ &\geq \ln(n) - \eta \sum_{t=1}^T m_i^t - \eta^2 \sum_{t=1}^T (m_i^t)^2 \end{aligned}$$

We get the result by combining these inequalities.

**Corollary 3.** *If  $p$  is a distribution and  $|m^t|$  is the vector obtained by taking the absolute value in each coordinate. Then:*

$$\sum_{t=1}^T p^t m^t \leq \sum_{t=1}^T p \cdot m^t + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T p \cdot |m^t| + \frac{\ln(n)}{\eta}$$

*If we have a reward  $r^t$  instead of cost, and updated by using the rule  $w_i^{t+1} = w_i^t(1 + \eta r_i^t)$  then:*

$$\sum_{t=1}^T p^t \cdot r^t \geq \sum_{t=1}^T r_i^t - \eta \sum_{t=1}^T (r_i^t)^2 - \frac{\ln}{\eta}$$

## 3. LEARNING A LINEAR CLASSIFIER

We are given a set of  $m$  points  $a_1 \dots a_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that there is a **non-negative** vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $a_j \cdot x \geq \epsilon$  for all  $j$ . The algorithm finds a **non-negative** vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $1 \cdot u = 1$  and  $a_j \cdot u \geq 0$  for all  $j$ .

**The Algorithm:** We define  $\rho = \max_j |a_j|_\infty$ . Select  $\eta = \frac{\epsilon}{2\rho}$ . We will have an “expert” for each coordinate. Run the  $MW_\eta$  algorithm. In each iteration, if  $p^t$  is a good classifier, stop. Otherwise, let  $j$  be the first index such that  $p^t \cdot a_j < 0$ . Let  $m^t = -\frac{a_j}{\rho}$ .

**Theorem 4.** *This algorithm stops after at most  $\frac{4\rho^2}{\epsilon^2} \ln(n)$  iterations.*

*Proof.*

$$\sum_{t=1}^T p^t m^t \leq \sum_{t=1}^T x \cdot m^t + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T x \cdot |m^t| + \frac{\ln(n)}{\eta}$$

□

For every day  $t \leq T$  we have a point  $a(t)$  such that  $a_j(t) \cdot p^t < 0$  and  $m^t = -\frac{a(t)}{\rho}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T p^t \cdot \frac{-a_j(t)}{\rho} &\leq \sum_{t=1}^T x \cdot \frac{-a(t)}{\rho} + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T x \cdot \frac{|a(t)|}{\rho} + \frac{\ln(n)}{\eta} \\ 0 &\leq -\frac{\epsilon T}{\rho} + \eta T + \frac{\ln(n)}{\eta} \\ \eta T &\leq \frac{\ln(n)}{\eta} \\ T &\leq \left(\frac{2\rho}{\epsilon}\right)^2 \ln(n) \end{aligned}$$

As required.

## 4. ZERO SUM GAMES

We talk about 2-player (ROW and COLUMN) games with randomized (mixed) strategies. Let  $A$  be a matrix. ROW has a distribution  $p$  over the rows of  $A$ , COLUMN has a distribution  $q$  over the columns of  $A$ . The expected payoff (row pays column) is  $A[p, q] = p^t A q = \sum_{i,j} p_i q_j A[i, j]$ .

**4.1. Von Neumann’s Theorem.** It holds that:

$$\min_p \max_q A[p, q] = \max_q \min_p A[p, q] = \min_p \max_j A[p, j] = \max_q \min_i A[i, q]$$

**4.2. Solving Zero-Sum Games Approximately.** We want to approximate the game’s value and the optimal strategies. We assume that  $A_{ij} \in [0, 1]$  for all  $i, j$ . Let  $v^* = \text{val}(A)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ .  $p, q$  are  $\epsilon$ -optimal strategies if  $\max_j A[p, j] \leq v^* + \epsilon$  and  $\min_i A[i, q] \geq v^* - \epsilon$ . We have an expert for each of the  $n$  rows of  $A$ . In each iteration  $t$ , the algorithm produces a distribution  $p^t$ . The cost vector  $m^t$  is the column  $j^t$  of  $A$  which maximizes  $A[p^t, j]$ . Note that:  $p \cdot m^t = A[p^t, j^t] \geq v^*$ .

**Theorem 5.** *If  $MW_\eta$  is run with  $\eta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for  $\frac{4\ln(n)}{\epsilon^2}$  iterations, then the best strategy obtained is  $\epsilon$  optimal for ROW. If  $A$  has  $m$  columns then the running time is  $O\left(\frac{mn \ln(n)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ .*

*Proof.* First, we bound the running time of the algorithm.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{t=1}^T A(p^t, j^t) &\leq (1 + \eta) \sum_{t=1}^T A(p^*, j^t) + \frac{\ln(n)}{\eta} \\ v^* &\leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T A(p^t, j^t) \leq v^* + \eta + \frac{\ln(n)}{\eta T}\end{aligned}$$

□

and if  $T = \frac{4\ln(n)}{\epsilon^2}$  then:

$$v^* \leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T A(p^t, j^t) \leq v^* + \epsilon$$

Now we show how to find an  $\epsilon$ -optimal strategy for ROW. By the inequality above, there exists  $t$  such that  $A(p^t, j^t) = \min_j A(p^t, j) \leq v^* + \epsilon$ . Thus, if  $t$  minimizes  $A(p^t, j^t)$  then  $p^t$  is an  $\epsilon$ -optimal strategy for ROW. An  $\epsilon$ -optimal strategy for COLUMN can also be found. Let  $q$  be such that  $q_j = \frac{|\{t: j^t=j\}|}{T}$ . For every  $i$ ,

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T A(i, j^t) = A(i, q)$$

Therefore we get that:

$$v^* \leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T A(p^t, j^t) \leq (1 + \eta) \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T A(i, j^t) + \frac{\ln n}{\eta T} \leq A(i, q) + \epsilon$$

Thus  $q$  is an  $\epsilon$ -optimal strategy for column.

## 5. MAXIMUM MULTICOMMODITY FLOW

$G = (V, E)$  is a directed graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges. We are also given a capacity function  $c: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $k$  pairs of source and sink. We want to maximize the total flow. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of all simple paths from  $(s_i, t_i)$  for some  $i \in [k]$ . We show a  $(1 - \epsilon)$ -approximation algorithm. We will use the **rewards** version of the Multiplicative Updates Algorithm. We will have an “expert” for each edge. Let  $\eta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . We give each edge a weight  $w_e^t$  and initialize it to 1. In each iteration  $t$ , we find a shortest path  $p^t$  with respect to the edge weights  $\frac{w_e^t}{c_e}$ . We route  $c^t$  units of flow on the path  $p^t$  where  $c^t = \min_{e \in p^t} c_e$ .

Define  $r_e^t = \frac{c^t}{c_e} \in [0, 1]$  if  $e \in p^t$  and otherwise,  $r_e^t = 0$ . We stop when there is an edge  $e \in E$  such that  $\frac{f_e}{c_e} \geq \frac{\ln m}{\eta^2}$ .

**Analysis:** Let  $f^{opt}$  be the optimal flow, and  $F^{opt} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} f_p^{opt}$ , Where  $f_p$  is the flow along the path  $p$ . Also let  $F = \sum_{t=1}^T c^t$ . By corollary 1.2.2:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T p^t \cdot r^t \geq (1 - \eta) \sum_{t=1}^T r_e^t - \frac{\ln m}{\eta}$$

It also holds that:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T p^t \cdot r^t = \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\sum_{e \in p^t} w_e^t \frac{c^t}{c_e}}{\sum_{e \in E} w_e^t} = \sum_{t=1}^T c^t \frac{\sum_{e \in p^t} \frac{w_e^t}{c_e}}{\sum_{e \in E} w_e^t}$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T c^t}{F^{opt}} = \frac{F}{F^{opt}}$$

Let  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  be a shortest path with respect to the edge weights  $\frac{w_e}{c_e}$ . We get that:

$$\frac{\sum_{e \in E} w_e}{\sum_{e \in p} \frac{w_e}{c_e}} \geq \frac{\sum_{e \in E} w_e \sum_{e \in p'} \frac{f_{p'}^{opt}}{c_e}}{\sum_{e \in p} \frac{w_e}{c_e}} = \frac{\sum_{p' \in \mathcal{P}} f_{p'}^{opt} \sum_{e \in p'} \frac{w_e}{c_e}}{\sum_{e \in p} \frac{w_e}{c_e}} \geq \sum_{p' \in \mathcal{P}} f_{p'}^{opt} = F^{opt}$$

Let  $C = \max_{e \in E} \frac{f_e}{c_e}$ . It follows that:

$$\frac{F}{F^{opt}} \geq \sum_t p^t r^t \geq (1 - \eta) \max_{e \in E} \frac{f_e}{c_e} - \frac{\ln m}{\eta} \geq (1 - 2\eta)C$$

When the algorithm terminates  $C \geq \frac{\ln m}{\eta^2}$ , we scale down the flow by  $C$  and achieve a legal flow (a flow that satisfies the capacity constraints).

$$\frac{F}{C} \geq (1 - 2\eta)F^{opt} = (1 - \epsilon)F^{opt}$$

**5.1. Bounding the number of iterations.** We stop when  $C \geq \frac{\ln m}{\eta^2}$ . Each iteration increases  $C$  by at least 1. Therefore, the number of iterations is bounded by  $m \lceil \frac{\ln m}{\eta^2} \rceil$ . Let  $T_{sp}(m)$  be the time of finding a shortest path on a graph with  $O(m)$  edges. Then the total running time is bounded by  $O(k \frac{m \ln m}{\epsilon^2} T_{sp}(m))$ .